

---

# Homework 1

Instructor: Haipeng Luo

---

1. Construct an example to show that Follow the Leader (FTL) could have  $\Omega(T)$  regret in the worst case. (Hint: think about a very simple setting such as the expert problem with two experts.)
2. **(Doubling Trick)** We have seen that Hedge has regret bound  $2\sqrt{T \ln N}$  with the optimal tuning  $\eta = \sqrt{(\ln N)/T}$ . What if  $T$  is unknown? One quick-and-dirty way to address this issue is the so-called “doubling trick”. The idea is to make a guess on  $T$ , and once the actual horizon exceeds the guess, double the guess and restart the algorithm with a learning rate tuned based on the new guess. The algorithm is outlined below (with  $\mathbf{0}$  being the all-zero vector):

---

**Algorithm 1:** Doubling Trick with Hedge

---

**Initialize:**  $L_0 = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\eta = \sqrt{(\ln N)/T_0}$  where  $T_0 = 2$   
**for**  $t = 1, 2, \dots$ , **do**  
    **if**  $t > T_0$  **then**  
        double the guess:  $T_0 \leftarrow 2T_0$   
        reset the algorithm:  $L_{t-1} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\eta = \sqrt{(\ln N)/T_0}$   
    compute  $p_t \in \Delta(N)$  such that  $p_t(i) \propto \exp(-\eta L_{t-1}(i))$   
    play  $p_t$  and observe loss vector  $\ell_t \in [0, 1]^N$   
    update  $L_t = L_{t-1} + \ell_t$

---

- (a) Prove that Algorithm 1 ensures that *for all*  $T$ , we have  $R_T(i^*) = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{T \ln N})$ . (Hint: consider how many times the algorithm resets and how large the regret can be between two resets.)
  - (b) In Lecture 3 we showed how to use time-varying learning rate to achieve “small-loss” bounds. Use the doubling trick to outline an algorithm that achieves the same bound  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{L_T(i^*) \ln N})$  without the knowledge of  $L_T(i^*)$ .
  - (c) In Lecture 6 we showed an algorithm that ensures  $R_T(i) \leq \frac{\ln N}{\eta} + \eta D_T(i)$  for  $D_T(i) = \sum_{t=1}^T (\ell_t(i) - \ell_{t-1}(i))^2$ . Can we again use the doubling trick to achieve  $R_T(i^*) = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{D_T(i^*) \ln N})$  without the knowledge of  $D_T(i^*)$ ?
  - (d) In general if we have an algorithm (with parameter  $\eta$ ) that ensures  $R_T(i^*) \leq \frac{\ln N}{\eta} + \eta B_T$  for some quantity  $B_T$ , under what conditions can we use the doubling trick to achieve the bound  $R_T(i^*) = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{B_T \ln N})$  without the knowledge of  $B_T$ ?
3. **(Regret Matching)** Regret Matching is a suboptimal yet extremely simple algorithm for the expert problem. Specially, at round  $t$  Regret Matching predicts

$$p_t(i) \propto [R_{t-1}(i)]_+, \quad \text{where } [x]_+ = \max\{x, 0\}.$$

Prove the regret bound for this algorithm through the following steps.

- (a) Prove that  $[R_t(i)]_+^2 \leq [R_{t-1}(i)]_+^2 + 2[R_{t-1}(i)]_+ r_t(i) + r_t^2(i)$ .
- (b) Define potential  $\Phi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N [R_t(i)]_+^2$ . Prove that  $\Phi_t \leq \Phi_{t-1} + N$ .

(c) Conclude that regret matching ensures  $R_T(i^*) \leq \sqrt{TN}$ .

4. (**Hedge is an FTPL**) Consider the following FTPL strategy for the expert problem: at time  $t$ , plays

$$i_t = \operatorname{argmin}_i (L_{t-1}(i) - L_0(i)),$$

where  $L_0(i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  are  $N$  independent random variables with *Gumbel distribution*, that is, with CDF  $\Pr[L_0(i) \leq x] \leq \exp(-\exp(-\eta x))$  for some parameter  $\eta$ .

- (a) Prove that for any  $j$ ,  $\Pr[i_t = j] = \Pr \left[ j = \operatorname{argmax}_i \frac{\exp(-\eta L_{t-1}(i))}{\exp(-\eta L_0(i))} \right]$ .
- (b) Prove that the random variable  $v(i) = \exp(-\eta L_0(i))$  follows the standard exponential distribution, that is  $\Pr[v(i) \leq x] = 1 - e^{-x}$ .
- (c) For any positive numbers  $a(1), \dots, a(N)$ , prove that  $\Pr \left[ j = \operatorname{argmax}_i \frac{a(i)}{v(i)} \right] = \frac{a(j)}{\sum_{i=1}^N a(i)}$ . Conclude that FTPL with Gumbel noise is equivalent to sampling an expert using Hedge's prediction.

5. (**Perceptron**) Consider the following algorithm (called *Perceptron*) for the online binary classification problem:

---

**Algorithm 2:** Perceptron

---

**Initialize:**  $w_1 = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

**for**  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$  **do**

    see example  $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$

    predict  $\hat{y}_t = \operatorname{SGN}(\langle w_t, x_t \rangle)$  where  $\operatorname{SGN}(y)$  is 1 if  $y \geq 0$  and  $-1$  otherwise

    receive true label  $y_t \in \{-1, 1\}$

**if**  $\hat{y}_t \neq y_t$  **then**  $w_{t+1} = w_t + y_t x_t$

**else**  $w_{t+1} = w_t$

---

- (a) Construct a loss function  $f_t(w)$  so that Perceptron is equivalent to OGD with  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\eta = 1$ , that is,  $w_{t+1} = w_t - \nabla f_t(w_t)$ . (For simplicity ignore the case when  $\langle w_t, x_t \rangle = 0$ .)
- (b) Since the regret bound of OGD requires boundedness of  $\Omega$ , it does not apply here. Instead, one can prove a *mistake bound* for Perceptron. For notation convenience, first ignore the rounds where the algorithm makes the correct prediction (that is,  $\hat{y}_t = y_t$ ). In other words, assume that the algorithm makes a mistake at every round so that  $T$  is exactly the number of mistakes. This is without loss of generality since the algorithm makes no changes unless it makes a mistake. Next, prove the following statements (all norms are  $L_2$  norm).
- (i)  $\|w_{t+1}\|^2 \leq \|w_t\|^2 + 1$  if examples are normalized so that  $\|x_t\| \leq 1$ .
- (ii) Assume there exists a perfect linear classifier  $w^*$  with  $\|w^*\| \leq 1$  and *margin*  $\gamma > 0$ , that is,  $y_t \langle w^*, x_t \rangle \geq \gamma$  for all  $x_t$ . Prove  $\|w_{T+1}\| \geq T\gamma$ .
- (iii) Combine the above two statements to show that the number of mistakes  $T$  is bounded by  $1/\gamma^2$ .

6. (**Online Mirror Descent**) Besides FTRL and FTPL, *Online Mirror Descent* (OMD) is yet another general framework to derive online learning algorithm for OCO. For a convex function  $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the Bregman divergence (with respect to  $\psi$ ) between two points  $w$  and  $u$  is defined as

$$D_\psi(w, u) = \psi(w) - \psi(u) - \langle \nabla \psi(u), w - u \rangle.$$

The update of OMD is then

$$w_{t+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{w \in \Omega} \langle w, \nabla f_t(w_t) \rangle + \frac{1}{\eta} D_\psi(w, w_t)$$

for some parameter  $\eta$ . In other words, OMD tries to find a point that minimizes the loss at time  $t$  (recall all OCO problems can be reduced to a linear problem with loss function  $\langle w, \nabla f_t(w_t) \rangle$  at time  $t$ ) while being close to the previous point  $w_t$ .

(a) Let  $w'_{t+1}$  be such that  $\nabla\psi(w'_{t+1}) = \nabla\psi(w_t) - \eta\nabla f_t(w_t)$  (assume it exists). Prove

$$w_{t+1} = \underset{w \in \Omega}{\operatorname{argmin}} D_\psi(w, w'_{t+1}). \quad (1)$$

(b) Verify that for any  $u \in \Omega$ , the instantaneous regret can be written as

$$\langle w_t - u, \nabla f_t(w_t) \rangle = \frac{1}{\eta} (D_\psi(u, w_t) - D_\psi(u, w'_{t+1}) + D_\psi(w_t, w'_{t+1}))$$

(c) Use the generalized Pythagorean theorem, which states that  $D_\psi(u, w_{t+1}) \leq D_\psi(u, w'_{t+1})$  for any  $u \in \Omega$  if  $w_{t+1}$  is a projection of  $w'_{t+1}$  as in Eq. (1), to conclude the following regret bound of OMD:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T f_t(w_t) - f_t(u) \leq \frac{D_\psi(u, w_1)}{\eta} + \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{t=1}^T D_\psi(w_t, w'_{t+1}). \quad (2)$$

(d) Show that Hedge is an instance of OMD and recover its regret bound using Eq. (2).

(e) Use  $\psi(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2$  to derive a different version of OGD. Prove its regret bound using Eq. (2).